United States District Court

for the
District of New Mexico

United States of America

v.
)
Case No. 14-341 MJ

JORGE JOSE CARDOZA
Defendant
)

DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that the defendant be detained pending trial. Part I—Findings of Fact (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed - that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more. an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment. an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses: any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250 (2)The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense. A period of less than five years has elapsed since the (3) date of conviction the defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the \Box (4) safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. **Alternative Findings (A)** \bigsqcup (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

		for the
		District of
<u>(2)</u>	The defendant has not rebutted the presump assure the defendant's appearance and the safe	ption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably fety of the community.
	Alterna	tive Findings (B)
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will	l not appear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will	l endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Statement	of the Reasons for Detention
I	I find that the testimony and information submit	tted at the detention hearing establishes by
convincir	cing evidence a preponderance of the evid	lence that
		ions Regarding Detention
confinement held in confinement defense confin	ement in a corrections facility separate, to the excustody pending appeal. The defendant must be counsel. On order of United States Court or or	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for xtent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with a request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge the United States marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	2/7/2014	/s/
		Judge's Signature
		CARMEN E. GARZA, U.S. Magistrate Judge
		Name and Title

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).